arXiv:1509.09239v1 [physics.chem-ph] 29 Sep 2015

Mixed random walks with a trap in scale-free networks including nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor jumps

Zhongzhi Zhang,[1,2,a)] Yuze Dong,[1,2] and Yibin Sheng[1,2]
[1)] *School of Computer Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*
[2)] *Shanghai Key Laboratory of Intelligent Information Processing, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

(Dated: 1 October 2015)

Random walks including non-nearest-neighbor jumps appear in many real situations such as the diffusion of adatoms and have found numerous applications including PageRank search algorithm, however, related theoretical results are much less for this dynamical process. In this paper, we present a study of mixed random walks in a family of fractal scale-free networks, where both nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor jumps are included. We focus on trapping problem in the network family, which is a particular case of random walks with a perfect trap fixed at the central high-degree node. We derive analytical expressions for the average trapping time (ATT), a quantitative indicator measuring the efficiency of the trapping process, by using two different methods, the results of which are consistent with each other. Furthermore, we analytically determine all the eigenvalues and their multiplicities for the fundamental matrix characterizing the dynamical process. Our results show that although next-nearest-neighbor jumps have no effect on the leading sacling of the trapping efficiency, they can strongly affect the prefactor of ATT, providing insight into better understanding of random-walk process in complex systems.

PACS numbers: 89.75.Hc, 05.40.Fb, 05.60.Cd, 02.10.Yn

I. INTRODUCTION

As a powerful tool for describing and studying complex systems, network science (complex networks) has attracted substantial attention of the scientific community in the past decade[1,2]. A central problem in the field of complex networks is to understand the relationship between various structural properties and dynamical processes occurring on networks. Among many different dynamical processes, random walks are a fundamental natural process, since they describe or express a wealth of other physical processes, including navigation[3], search[4,5], and so on. Thus far, random walks have found a plethora of applications in interdisciplinary fields[6–11]. In view of their theoretical and practical relevance, continuously increasing endeavors have been devoted to study random walks on complex networks[12–16].

One of the most important quantities related to random walks is first-passage time (FPT)[17,18]. The FPT from a source node s to a target node t is defined as the expected time for a walker starting at node s to arrive at t for the first time. The mean of FPTs to a given target over all starting nodes is known as mean first-passage time (MFPT), which plays an essential role in various realistic situations, such as trapping problem[19], target search[5,20], and lighting harvesting[21–23]. MFPT has been deeply studied in different networks[24–28], including the Sierpinski fractal[29–31], the T-fractal[32–36], dendrimers[37–39] and hyperbrached polymers[37,38] square-planar lattices[40], scale-free networks[41–45], as well as weighted networks[46,47].

Previous studies uncovered the critical effects of structure and weight of the underlying systems on MFPT, for example, inhomogeneous degree[41,43] or weight[46,47]. However, most existent works focus on nearest-neighbor random walks, neglecting the role of non-nearest-neighbor hopping, which has been implicated in some physical processes, such as exciton migration in crystals[48], photosynthesis[49], and the surface diffusion of adatoms[50]. Particularly, a recent work pointed out the experimental evidence for and the physical significance of non-nearest-neighbor jumps in the diffusion of adatoms[51]. Due to its significant importance, non-nearest-neighbor hopping has been considered in various contexts[5,52–54]. Nevertheless, in contrast to nearest-neighbor random walks, related research about MFPT for random walks including non-nearest-neighbor jumps is much less[31,55]. Even if the inclusion of non-nearest-neighbor jumps may not affect the scaling exponent of MFPT, we may expect that it can significantly modify the prefactor of MFPT[25,27]. However, it is still not well understood how the prefactor changes with non-nearest-neighbor jumps.

In this paper, we study random walks in a family scale-free fractal networks[56,57] with a deep trap placed at the central large-degree node. During the process of random walks, both nearest-neighbor and non-nearest-neighbor jumps are allowed with different probability controlled by a parameter. We obtain two expressions for the MFPT to the trap by using two different techniques, the results of which are consistent with each other. In addition, we find all the eigenvalues and their degeneracies of the fundamental matrix characterizing the trapping problem. The obtained result indicates that the prefactor of the MFPT

[a)] Electronic mail: zhangzz@fudan.edu.cn; http://www.researcherid.com/rid/G-5522-2011



FIG. 1. The first two iterations of a specific network for $m = 1$.

to the target is dependent on the probability parameter, which shows that the inclusion of non-nearest-neighbor hopping has a vital influence on random walks in the networks under consideration.

II. NETWORK MODEL

The studied networks are defined in an iterative way. Let F_n denote the networks after n ($n \geq 0$) iterations. Then, F_n are constructed as follows[56,57]. For $n = 0$, F_0 contains two nodes linked by an edge. For $n \geq 1$, F_n is obtained from F_{n-1} by performing the following operations on every edge in F_{n-1}: replace the edge by a path of 2 links long, with the two endpoints of the path being the same endpoints of the original edge (the new node having an initial degree 2 in the middle of path is referred to as an internal node), then attach m new nodes with an initial degree 1 (called external nodes) to each endpoint of the path. Figure 1 illustrates the construction process for a limiting case of $m = 1$, showing the first two iterative processes.

By construction, at each generation n_i ($n_i \geq 1$) the number of newly introduced nodes is $V_{n_i} = (2m+1)(2m+2)^{n_i-1}$, among which $(2m+2)^{n_i-1}$ nodes are internal nodes and the remaining $2m(2m+2)^{n_i-1}$ nodes are external nodes. Then, the total number of nodes N_n in F_n is $N_n = \sum_{n_i=0}^{n} V_{n_i} = (2m+2)^n+1$, and the total number of edges is $E_n = N_n-1 = (2m+2)^n$. Let $d_i(n)$ represent the degree of a node i in F_n, which was generated at iteration n_i ($n_i \geq 0$). Then $d_i(n) = 2(m+1)^{n-n_i}$ if i is an internal node; and $d_i(n) = (m+1)^{n-n_i}$ if node i is an external node. Hence, after each new iteration the degree of every node increases by m times, i.e., $d_i(n) = (m+1)\, d_i(n-1)$.

This networks under consideration display the remarkable topological features as observed in various real systems. They are scale free with their degree distribution $P(k)$ following a power law form $P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}$, where $\gamma = 1+\ln(2m+2)/\ln(m+1)$[57]. In addition, they are fractal with the fractal dimension being $d_B = \ln(2m+2)/\ln 2$[56].

III. DEFINITION OF MIXED RANDOM WALKS

We define a novel type of random walks taking place in the fractal scale-free networks F_n, which include both nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor jumps and is thus called mixed random walks hereafter. Let A_n denote the adjacency matrix of F_n, which encodes the structure information of F_n. The entries $A_n(i,j)$ of A_n are defined by $A_n(i,j) = 1$ if nodes i and j are adjacent in F_n, or $A_n(i,j) = 0$ otherwise. Note that all random walks are determined by their corresponding transition probability matrices. We use P_n to represent the transition probability matrix for mixed random walks in F_n, whose entry $P_n(i,j)$ is the jump possibility from node i to node j.

During the process of mixed random walks in F_n, if the current location of the walker is an old node, which is already existent in F_{n-1}, it is allowed to jump to both nearest neighbors and next nearest neighbors, with their respective probabilities are θ and $1-\theta$ ($0 \leq \theta \leq 1$); if the current state of the walker is at a new node created at iteration n, then it can only jump to nearest neighbors. In other words, for mixed random walks in F_n, the walker performs isotropic nearest-neighbor random walks in either F_{n-1} or F_n, with respective probabilities θ and $1-\theta$. Concretely, for mixed random walks in F_n, the transition probability is defined by

$$P_n(i,j) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta A_n(i,j)}{d_i(n)}, & i \in \alpha,\ j \in \beta, \\ \frac{(1-\theta) A_{n-1}(i,j)}{d_i(n-1)}, & i \in \alpha,\ j \in \alpha, \\ \frac{A_n(i,j)}{d_i(n)}, & i \in \beta,\ j \in \alpha, \\ \frac{A_n(i,j)}{d_i(n)}, & i \in \beta,\ j \in \beta, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where α represents the set of nodes belonging to F_{n-1}, and β represents the set of nodes generated at nth iteration. Since all new nodes in F_n are not adjacent, there is no transition between any pair of new nodes in F_n. Thus, Eq. (1) is reduced to

$$P_n(i,j) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta A_n(i,j)}{d_i(n)}, & i \in \alpha,\ j \in \beta, \\ \frac{(1-\theta) A_{n-1}(i,j)}{d_i(n-1)}, & i \in \alpha,\ j \in \alpha, \\ \frac{A_n(i,j)}{d_i(n)}, & i \in \beta,\ j \in \alpha, \\ 0, & i \in \beta,\ j \in \beta. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

There are two special cases for the above-defined mixed random walks in F_n. For $\theta = 0$, it reduces to random walks in F_n; for $\theta = 1$, it is exactly random walks in F_{n-1}. As expected, the probability parameter θ dominates the process of mixed random walks in F_n. Below we will study a particular case of mixed random walks in F_n with a deep trap positioned at the central hub node, i.e., the internal node generated at iteration 1, and show that the parameter θ significantly influences the ATT to the trap, as well as the eigenvalues of the fundamental matrix associated with the trapping problem.

IV. MIXED RANDOM WALKS WITH A PERFECT TRAP AT A HUB NODE

In the sequel, we examine mixed random walks in F_n with a perfect trap at the internal node created at the first generation. We will derive explicit formulas for the ATT to the target. Moreover, we will obtain the full spectra for the fundamental matrix describing the trapping problem. Based on these results, we will show that next-nearest-neighbor jumps, dominating by the parameter θ, have a substantial effect on the dynamic process, especially the prefactor of ATT.

A. Formulation of trapping problem

The trapping problem is a kind of random walks with a deep trap fixed at a certain location. We here address mixed random walks in F_n in the presence of a trap placed at the central node, that is, the unique internal node created at the first generation. For the convenience of description, we label all the nodes in F_n as follows. For $n = 1$, the trap node is labeled as 1, the initial two nodes belonging to F_0 are labeled as 2 and 3, and all other nodes are labeled as 4, 5, ..., $2m+3$. For each new generation $n > 1$, only those new nodes generated at this generation are labeled, while the labels of all old nodes remain unchanged, i.e., we consecutively label new nodes in F_n as $N_{n-1}+1$, $N_{n-1}+2$, $\cdots$, N_n.

Let $T_i^{(n)}$ represent the trapping time (TT) for a walker initially placed at node i (other than the trap) to arrive at the trap node for the first time. In fact, $T_i^{(n)}$ is the FPT from node i to the trap. Then, the average trapping time (ATT) $\langle T\rangle_n$, which is the mean of $T_i^{(n)}$ over all non-trap initial nodes in network F_n, is given by

$$\langle T\rangle_n = \frac{1}{N_n-1}\sum_{i=2}^{N_n} T_i^{(n)}\,. \tag{3}$$

By definition, the quantity ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$ is the MFPT to the trap, which is very important since it is a quantitative indicator measuring the trapping efficiency, with small ATT corresponding to efficient trapping process. Below we will study the two quantities $T_i^{(n)}$ and $\langle T\rangle_n$.

For $T_i^{(n)}$, it satisfies the following relation

$$T_i^{(n)} = \sum_{j=2}^{N_n} P_n(i,j)\, T_j^{(n)} + 1\,, \tag{4}$$

which can be rewritten in matrix form as

$$T = \bar{P}_n\, T + e\,, \tag{5}$$

where $T = \left(T_2^{(n)}, T_3^{(n)}, \cdots, T_{N_n}^{(n)}\right)^\top$ is an (N_n-1)-dimensional vector, $\bar{P}_n$ is a matrix of order N_n-1 that is actually a submatrix of P_n with the row and column corresponding the trap being removed, and $e = (1,1,\cdots,1)^\top$ is the (N_n-1)-dimensional vector of all ones. Equation (5) implies

$$T = (I-\bar{P}_n)^{-1} e = M_n\, e\,, \tag{6}$$

where I is the identity matrix of order $(N_n-1)\times(N_n-1)$. Matrix $M_n = (I-\bar{P}_n)^{-1}$ is often called fundamental matrix[58] of the trapping problem. Equation (6) means

$$T_i^{(n)} = \sum_{j=2}^{N_n} M_n(i,j)\,, \tag{7}$$

where $M_n(i,j)$ denotes the ijth element of the fundamental matrix, which is the mean number of visits of node j by the walker starting from node i before being trapped. Inserting Eq. (6) into Eq. (7) leads to

$$\langle T\rangle_n = \frac{1}{N_n-1}\sum_{i=2}^{N_n}\sum_{j=2}^{N_n} M_n(i,j)\,. \tag{8}$$

Equation (8) shows that the problem of evaluating ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$ can be reduced to computing the sum of all elements of the associated fundamental matrix. However, before finding the sum, one must first invert a matrix, which demands a large computational effort when the networks are very large. Thus, Eq. (8) is only valid for those networks with a small number of nodes, but it generates exact results that can be used to check the results for ATT derived by other approaches. In what follows, we will analytically determine the closed-form expression for ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$ using another technique. Moreover, we will determine all the eigenvalues of the fundamental matrix M_n, the largest eigenvalue of which is proportional to the leading scaling of the ATT.

B. Exact solution to average trapping time

The particular selection of trap location and the special network structure allow to determine exactly the ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$ for arbitrary n. In order to evaluate $\langle T\rangle_n$, we use Λ_n to represent the set of all nodes in F_n, and use $\bar{\Lambda}_n$ to denote the set of those nodes created at generation n. Thus, $\Lambda_n = \bar{\Lambda}_n \cup \Lambda_{n-1}$. For the convenience of computation for $\langle T\rangle_n$, we introduce the following quantities for any $g \le n$: $T_{g,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} = \sum_{i\in\Lambda_g} T_i^{(n)}$ and $\bar{T}_{g,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} = \sum_{i\in\bar{\Lambda}_g} T_i^{(n)}$. Then,

$$\langle T\rangle_n = \frac{1}{N_n-1} T_{g,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}\,. \tag{9}$$

The specific case $\theta = 1$ has been studied in[59]. For this case, we represent the quantities $T_i^{(n)}$, $T_{g,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}$, $\bar{T}_{g,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}$, and $\langle T\rangle_n$ by $H_i^{(n)}$, $H_{g,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}$, $\bar{H}_{g,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}$, and $\langle H\rangle_n$, respectively. It

has been proved[59] that

$$\langle H\rangle_n = \frac{4m^2+4m+1}{2(4m^2+7m+3)}2^n(2m+2)^n + \frac{16m^2+16m+3}{4(4m^2+7m+3)}2^n - \frac{4m^2+4m+1}{2(4m^2+7m+3)} \quad (10)$$

which is helpful for the following derivation.

Next, we show that there exists a useful relation between $T_i^{(n)}$ and $H_i^{(n-1)}$. Let's examine a node i in F_n. Notice that after one iteration, the degree of an old node i in F_{n-1} increases from $d_i(n-1)$ to $(m+1)\,d_i(n-1)$. Moreover, all these $(m+1)\,d_i(n-1)$ neighbors of node i are new nodes created at iteration n, among which $m\,d_i(n-1)$ neighbors are external nodes, and the remaining $d_i(n-1)$ neighbors are internal nodes. For mixed random walks in F_n, let X be the FPT for a particle starting from node i to any of its $d_i(n-1)$ old neighbors, namely, those nodes directly connected to i at iteration $n-1$, and let Y (resp. Z) be the FPT for going from any of the $d_i(n-1)$ (resp. $md_i(n-1)$) internal (resp. external) new neighbors of i to one of its $d_i(n)$ old neighbors. Then, X, Y, and Z follow the relations:

$$\begin{cases} X &= \frac{m\theta}{m+1}(1+Z)+\frac{\theta}{m+1}(1+Y)+(1-\theta)\,, \\ Y &= \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}(1+X)\,, \\ Z &= 1+X\,. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Eliminating Y and Z in Eq. (11) yields $X = \frac{(2m+2)(1+\theta)}{(2-2\theta)m+(2-\theta)}$. Therefore, when the networks evolve from iteration $n-1$ to iteration n, the FPT from any node i ($i \in F_{n-1}$) to another node j ($j \in F_{n-1}$) increases by a factor of $\frac{(2m+2)(1+\theta)}{(2-2\theta)m+(2-\theta)}$. Thus, we have

$$T_i^{(n+1)} = \frac{(2m+2)(1+\theta)}{(2-2\theta)m+(2-\theta)}\,H_i^{(n)}, \quad (12)$$

an expression useful for the following derivation of the exact solution to ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$. For $\theta = 1$, Eq. (12) becomes

$$H_i^{(n+1)} = (4m+4)H_i^{(n)}\,. \quad (13)$$

Equation (9) shows that, in order to determine $\langle T\rangle_n$, we can alternatively estimate $T_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}$ that obeys the following relation

$$T_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} = T_{n-1,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} + \bar{T}_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} = \frac{(2m+2)(1+\theta)}{(2-2\theta)m+(2-\theta)}\,H_{n-1,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n-1)} + \bar{T}_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}. \quad (14)$$

Hence, to find $T_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}$, it is necessary to first explicitly determine the quantity $\bar{T}_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}$.

For an arbitrary external node i_{ext} in F_n, which was generated at iteration n and linked to an old node i, we have

$$T_{i_{\mathrm{ext}}}^{(n)} = 1 + T_i^{(n)}\,. \quad (15)$$

While for an arbitrary internal node l_{int}^{ij}, which was created at generation n and attached to a pair old nodes i and j, we have

$$T_{l_{\mathrm{int}}^{ij}}^{(n)} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}T_i^{(n)} + \frac{1}{2}T_j^{(n)}\,. \quad (16)$$

Then, by construction, it is easy to establish relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{T}_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} \\ &= |\bar{\Lambda}_n| + \sum_{i\in\Lambda_{n-1}}\left[\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)d_i(n-1)\times T_i^{(n)}\right] \\ &= |\bar{\Lambda}_n| + \left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)\times \\ &\quad \sum_{i\in\Lambda_{n-1}}\left(d_i(n-1)\frac{(2m+2)(1+\theta)}{(2-2\theta)m+(2-\theta)}H_i^{(n-1)}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $|\bar{\Lambda}_n|$ denotes the cardinality of set $\bar{\Lambda}_n$. For $\theta = 1$, Equation (17) reduces to

$$\bar{H}_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} = |\bar{\Lambda}_n| + \left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sum_{i\in\Lambda_{n-1}}\left(d_i(n-1)(4m+4)H_i^{(n-1)}\right). \quad (18)$$

Combining Eqs. (17) and (18), we obtain

$$\frac{\bar{T}_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} - |\bar{\Lambda}_n|}{\frac{(2m+2)(1+\theta)}{(2-2\theta)m+(2-\theta)}} = \frac{\bar{H}_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} - |\bar{\Lambda}_n|}{4m+4}, \quad (19)$$

from which we can further derive

$$\bar{T}_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} = \frac{(2m+2)^{n-1}(1+2m)(3+4m)(1-\theta)}{4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta} + \frac{1+\theta}{4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta}\bar{H}_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}, \quad (20)$$

where $|\bar{\Lambda}_n| = (2m+1)(2m+2)^{n-1}$ was used. On the other hand,

$$\bar{H}_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} = H_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} - H_{n-1,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} = H_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} - (4m+4)H_{n-1,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n-1)}. \quad (21)$$

Plugging Eqs. (20) and (21) into Eq. (14) leads to

$$T_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)} = \frac{(2m+2)^{n-1}(1+2m)(3+4m)(1-\theta)}{4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta} + \frac{1+\theta}{4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta}H_{n,\mathrm{tot}}^{(n)}. \quad (22)$$

Dividing both sides of Eq. (22) by $N_n - 1 = (2m+2)^n$, we arrive at an accurate formula for the ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$, which

reads

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T\rangle_n &= \frac{(1+2m)(3+4m)(1-\theta)}{(2m+2)(4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1+\theta}{4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta}\langle H\rangle_n \\ &= \frac{(4m^2+4m+1)(1+\theta)}{2(4m^2+7m+3)(4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta)}2^n(2m+2)^n \\ &\quad + \frac{(16m^2+16m+3)(1+\theta)}{4(4m^2+7m+3)(4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta)}2^n \\ &\quad + \frac{(1+2m)[(8-8\theta)m^2+(11-13\theta)m+(4-5\theta)]}{(4m^2+7m+3)(4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta)}.\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We continue to express ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$ in terms of the network size N_n, with an aim to obtain the dependence relation of $\langle T\rangle_n$ on N_n. From $N_n=(2m+2)^n+1$, we have $2^n=(N_n-1)^{\ln 2/\ln(2m+2)}$. Therefore, Eq. (23) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle T\rangle_n \\ &= \frac{(4m^2+4m+1)(1+\theta)}{2(4m^2+7m+3)(4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta)}(N_n-1)^{1+\ln 2/\ln(2m+2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(16m^2+16m+3)(1+\theta)}{4(4m^2+7m+3)(4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta)}(N_n-1)^{\ln 2/\ln(2m+2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(1+2m)[(8-8\theta)m^2+(11-13\theta)m+(4-5\theta)]}{(4m^2+7m+3)(4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta)},\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

which provides an exact dependence relation of $\langle T\rangle_n$ on N_n and parameter θ. For very large networks, i.e., $N_n\to\infty$, $\langle T\rangle_n$ has the following dominating term:

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle T\rangle_n \\ &\approx \frac{(4m^2+4m+1)(1+\theta)}{2(4m^2+7m+3)(4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta)}(N_n-1)^{1+\ln 2/\ln(2m+2)} \\ &\sim \xi(\theta)(N_n)^{1+\ln 2/\ln(2m+2)},\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\xi(\theta)=\frac{(4m^2+4m+1)(1+\theta)}{2(4m^2+7m+3)(4+4m-2\theta-4m\theta)}$.

Form Eq. (25) we can observe that in the whole range of $0\le\theta\le 1$, the ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$ scales superlinearly with the system size N_n, with the exponent $1+\ln 2/\ln(2m+2)$ independent of parameter θ. Thus, the inclusion of next-nearest-neighbor jumps, controlled by parameter θ, has a negligible effect on the leading behavior of ATT. However, as shown in Eq. (25), the parameter θ can significantly modify the prefactor $\xi(\theta)$ of the dominatant term for ATT. Concretely, $\xi(\theta)$ is an increasing function of θ. When θ grows from 0 to 1, the prefactor $\xi(\theta)$ grows from $\frac{4m^2+4m+1}{2(4m^2+7m+3)(4m+4)}$ to $\frac{4m^2+4m+1}{2(4m^2+7m+3)}$, implying that the incorporation of next-nearest-neighbor jumps can enhance the transportation efficiency in a significant way. For the two limiting cases of $\theta=0$ and $\theta=1$, the ATT for the former is only $\frac{1}{4m+4}$ of that for the latter.

V. FULL SPECTRUM OF FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX

In this section, we study the eigenvalues of the fundamental matrix M_n for the trapping problem considered above. We will obtain all eigenvalues as well as their multiplicities. Moreover, we will show that the largest eigenvalue has the same leading scaling as that of the ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$. For this purpose, we introduce a matrix T_n defined by $T_n=M_n^{-1}$. Let $\lambda_i(n)$ and $\sigma_i(n)$, where $i=1,2,\ldots,N_n-1$, be the respective eigenvalues of T_n and M_n, satisfying $\lambda_1(n)\le\lambda_2(n)\le\lambda_3(n)\ldots\le\lambda_{N_n-1}(n)$ and $\sigma_1(n)\ge\sigma_2(n)\ge\sigma_3(n)\ge\ldots\ge\sigma_{N_n-1}(n)$. Then, we have $\lambda_i(n)=1/\sigma_i(n)$. Thus, in order to find the eigenvalues of matrix M_n, we only need to determine the eigenvalues for T_n.

A. Eigenvalue spectrum for case of $\theta=1$

We first compute the eigenvalue of T_n for the special case of $\theta=1$. For this case, we use Γ_n to denote T_n. It is easy to see that for $\theta=1$, the transition probability matrix P_n becomes $P_n=D_n^{-1}A_n$, where D_n is the diagonal degree matrix of F_n with its ith diagonal entry being $d_i(n)$. Thus, for $\theta=1$, the (i,j) entry of $\Gamma_n=I-\bar{P}_n$ reduces to the following form:

$$\Gamma_n(i,j)=\begin{cases}1, & i=j,\\ -\frac{A_n(i,j)}{d_i(n)}, & i\ne j\,.\end{cases} \tag{26}$$

For the sake of convenience, in the sequel, we use I to denote the identity matrix of approximate order. By definition, the problem of finding eigenvalues of Γ_n is equivalent to determine the roots of the characteristic polynomial $\xi_n(\mu)=\det(\mu I-\Gamma_n)$ of Γ_n. Next, we will derive a recursive relationship for the characteristic polynomial $\xi_n(\mu)$ and $\xi_{n-1}(\mu)$, based on which we will determine all eigenvalues of Γ_n from those corresponding to the previous iteration.

By construction, matrix Γ_n can be written in a block form:

$$\Gamma_n=\begin{bmatrix}\Gamma_{\alpha,\alpha} & \Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\\ \Gamma_{\beta,\alpha} & \Gamma_{\beta,\beta}\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}I & \Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\\ \Gamma_{\beta,\alpha} & I\end{bmatrix}, \tag{27}$$

where the fact that both $\Gamma_{\alpha,\alpha}$ and $\Gamma_{\beta,\beta}$ are identity matrixes is applied. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_n(\mu)&=\begin{vmatrix}(\mu-1)I & -\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\\ -\Gamma_{\beta,\alpha} & (\mu-1)I\end{vmatrix}\\ &=\begin{vmatrix}(\mu-1)I-\frac{\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\Gamma_{\beta,\alpha}}{\mu-1} & 0\\ -\Gamma_{\beta,\alpha} & (\mu-1)I\end{vmatrix}\\ &=(\mu-1)^{(2m+1)(2m+2)^{n-1}}\left|(\mu-1)I-\frac{\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\Gamma_{\beta,\alpha}}{\mu-1}\right|\\ &=(\mu-1)^{2m(2m+2)^{n-1}}\det\left((\mu-1)^2I-\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\Gamma_{\beta,\alpha}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In Appendix A, we prove that

$$\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\Gamma_{\beta,\alpha} = I - \frac{1}{2m+2}\Gamma_{n-1}\,. \tag{29}$$

Plugging Eq. (29) into Eq. (28) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_n(\mu) &= (\mu-1)^{2m(2m+2)^{n-1}} \det\left((\mu^2-2\mu)I + \frac{1}{2m+2}\Gamma_{n-1}\right)\\ &= \frac{(\mu-1)^{2m(2m+2)^{n-1}}}{(-2m-2)^{(2m+2)^{n-1}}} \det\left((2m+2)(2\mu-\mu^2)I - \Gamma_{n-1}\right)\\ &= \frac{(\mu-1)^{2m(2m+2)^{n-1}}}{(-2m-2)^{(2m+2)^{n-1}}}\xi_{n-1}\left((2m+2)(2\mu-\mu^2)\right)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

which reveals the relationship between $\xi_n(\mu)$ and $\xi_{n-1}(\mu)$, allowing to express the eigenvalues of Γ_n in terms of those of Γ_{n-1}.

Now we show how to obtain the eigenvalues of Γ_n from those of Γ_{n-1}. Let $\mu_1(n-1)$, $\mu_2(n-1)$, $\cdots$, $\mu_{N_{n-1}-1}(n-1)$ be the $N_{n-1}-1$ eigenvalues of Γ_{n-1}. Then, the characteristic polynomial $\xi_{n-1}(\mu)$ of Γ_{n-1} can be written as

$$\xi_{n-1}(\mu) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{n-1}-1}\left(\mu - \mu_i(n-1)\right), \tag{31}$$

substituting which into Eq. (30) yields

$$\xi_n(\mu) = \frac{(\mu-1)^{2m(2m+2)^{n-1}}}{(-2m-2)^{(2m+2)^{n-1}}}\xi_{n-1}(\phi(\mu))\,, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\phi(\mu) = (2m+2)\left(2\mu-\mu^2\right)\,. \tag{33}$$

Equation (32) indicates that 1 is an eigenvalue of Γ_n with multiplicity $2m(2m+2)^{n-1}$ and that all other eigenvalue are determined by $\xi_{n-1}(\phi(\lambda))=0$. For each eigenvalue $\mu_i(n-1)$ of Γ_{n-1}, from Eq. (32) we have the following quadratic equation

$$(2m+2)(2\mu-\mu^2) - \mu_i(n-1) = 0\,. \tag{34}$$

Solving this quadratic equation in the variable μ gives rise to two eigenvalues, $\mu_{i,+}(n)$ and $\mu_{i,-}(n)$, other than 1 for matrix Γ_n:

$$\mu_{i,+}(n) = 1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mu_i(n-1)}{2m+2}} \tag{35}$$

and

$$\mu_{i,-}(n) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mu_i(n-1)}{2m+2}}\,, \tag{36}$$

both of which keep the degeneracy of its parent $\mu_i(n-1)$.

From above analysis, the number of eigenvalues other than 1 is $2(N_{n-1}-1) = 2(2m+2)^{n-1}$, and the number of eigenvalue 1 is $2m(2m+2)^{n-1}$. Then, from the eigenvalues of Γ_{n-1}, we can completely determined all the $2m(2m+2)^n$ eigenvalues of Γ_n. For Γ_1, the set of its eigenvalues includes 1 with multiplicity of $2m-2$, $1+\sqrt{\frac{m}{m+1}}$ and $1-\sqrt{\frac{m}{m+1}}$ with respective multiplicity being 2. According to the above argument, all eigenvalues and their multiplicities of Γ_n ($n \geq 2$) can be determined in an iterative way: 1 is always an eigenvalue with multiplicity of $2m(2m+2)^n$, and any other eigenvalue can be obtained by recursively applying Eqs. (35) and (36) with their multiplicity being the same as that of their parent.

B. Eigenvalue spectrum for arbitrary θ

After obtaining all the eigenvalues of Γ_n for the particular case of $\theta = 1$, we now determine the eigenvalue spectrum of matrix T_n for arbitrary θ between 0 and 1. Let $\zeta_n(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - T_n)$ denote the characteristic polynomial of matrix T_n. In what follows we will provide a relationship between $\zeta_n(\lambda)$ and $\xi_{n-1}(\mu)$, from which we will show that all the eigenvalues of T_n can be completely determined from those of Γ_{n-1}.

Note that matrix T_n can be written in a block form:

$$T_n = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\alpha,\alpha} & T_{\alpha,\beta} \\ T_{\beta,\alpha} & T_{\beta,\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\alpha,\alpha} & T_{\alpha,\beta} \\ T_{\beta,\alpha} & I \end{bmatrix}. \tag{37}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_n(\lambda) &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda I - T_{\alpha,\alpha} & -T_{\alpha,\beta} \\ -T_{\beta,\alpha} & (\lambda-1)I \end{vmatrix}\\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda I - T_{\alpha,\alpha} - \frac{T_{\alpha,\beta}T_{\beta,\alpha}}{\lambda-1} & 0 \\ -T_{\beta,\alpha} & (\lambda-1)I \end{vmatrix}\\ &= (\lambda-1)^{(2m+1)(2m+2)^{n-1}}\left|\lambda I - T_{\alpha,\alpha} - \frac{T_{\alpha,\beta}T_{\beta,\alpha}}{\lambda-1}\right|\\ &= (\lambda-1)^{2m(2m+2)^{n-1}} \times \\ &\quad \det\left(\left(\lambda^2-\lambda\right)I - (\lambda-1)T_{\alpha,\alpha} - T_{\alpha,\beta}T_{\beta,\alpha}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The two matrices $T_{\alpha,\alpha}$ and $T_{\alpha,\beta}T_{\beta,\alpha}$ can be, respectively, expressed in terms of matrix Γ_{n-1} as (see Appendix B for proof)

$$T_{\alpha,\alpha} = \theta I + (1-\theta)\Gamma_{n-1} \tag{39}$$

and

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}T_{\beta,\alpha} = \theta I - \frac{\theta}{(2m+2)}\Gamma_{n-1}. \tag{40}$$

Inserting Eqs. (39) and (40) into Eq. (38) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_n(\lambda) = &\frac{(\lambda-1)^{2m(2m+2)^{n-1}}}{(2m+2)^{(2m+2)^{n-1}}} \times \\ &\left[(\lambda-1)(1-\theta)(2m+2) - \theta\right]^{(2m+2)^{n-1}} \times \\ &\xi_{n-1}\left(\frac{(2m+2)(\lambda^2-\lambda-\lambda\theta)}{(\lambda-1)(1-\theta)(2m+2)-\theta}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

which relates $\zeta_n(\lambda)$ to $\xi_{n-1}(\eta(\lambda))$, where $\eta(\lambda) = \frac{(2m+2)(\lambda^2-\lambda-\lambda\theta)}{(\lambda-1)(1-\theta)(2m+2)-\theta}$. Using Eq. (31), Eq. (41) can be re-

cast as

$$\zeta_n(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda-1)^{2m(2m+2)^{n-1}}}{(2m+2)^{(2m+2)^{n-1}}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{n-1}-1} \big\{(2m+2)(\lambda^2-\lambda-\lambda\theta) - \mu_i(n-1)[(\lambda-1)(1-\theta)(2m+2)-\theta]\big\}. \quad (42)$$

From Eq. (42), one can find all the roots of $\zeta_n(\lambda)$, which are the eigenvalues of matrix T_n. First, 1 is a root of $\zeta_n(\lambda)$ with multiplicity $2m(2m+2)^{n-1}$. While for other roots different from 1, they can be derived from the eigenvalues of Γ_{n-1}. For each eigenvalue $\mu_i(n-1)$ of Γ_{n-1}, solving the following quadratic equation in variable λ:

$$(2m+2)(\lambda^2-\lambda-\lambda\theta)-\mu_i(n-1)[(\lambda-1)(1-\theta)(2m+2)-\theta] = 0, \quad (43)$$

generates two eigenvalues for T_n unequal to 1, $\lambda_{i,+}(n)$ and $\lambda_{i,-}(n)$, given separately by

$$\lambda_{i,+}(n) = \frac{(1+m)(1-\theta)\mu_i(n-1)+(1+m)(1+\theta)}{2m+2} + \frac{\sqrt{(1+m)^2(1-\theta)^2[\mu_i(n-1)]^2+(1+m)^2(1+\theta)^2-2(1+m)[1+m(1-\theta)^2-(1-\theta)]\mu_i(n-1)}}{2m+2} \quad (44)$$

and

$$\lambda_{i,-}(n) = \frac{(1+m)(1-\theta)\mu_i(n-1)+(1+m)(1+\theta)}{2m+2} - \frac{\sqrt{(1+m)^2(1-\theta)^2[\mu_i(n-1)]^2+(1+m)^2(1+\theta)^2-2(1+m)[1+m(1-\theta)^2-(1-\theta)]\mu_i(n-1)}}{2m+2}, \quad (45)$$

with both $\lambda_{i,+}(n)$ and $\lambda_{i,-}(n)$ having the same multiplicity as that of $\mu_i(n-1)$. In an analogous way, we can verify that all eigenvalues of T_n and their degeneracies can be found by using Eqs. (44) and (45).

Since there exists a one-to-one relation between the eigenvalues of T_n and the fundamental matrix M_n, we thus have also obtained the full eigenvalue spectrum of M_n.

C. The largest eigenvalue

In the above, we have determined all eigenvalues for the inverse T_n of the fundamental matrix M_n and thus all eigenvalues of M_n. Here we continue to estimate the largest eigenvalue of the fundamental matrix M_n, which is actually equal to the reciprocal of the smallest eigenvalue for matrix T_n, denoted by $\lambda_{\min}(n)$. We will show that the ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$ has the same leading behavior as that of the reciprocal of $\lambda_{\min}(n)$.

We first consider special situation of $\theta = 1$, and use $\mu_{\min}(n)$ to denote the smallest eigenvalue of matrix Γ_n. According to the computation process for eigenvalues of Γ_n, especially Eq. (36), the smallest eigenvalue of $\mu_{\min}(n)$ satisfies the following recursive relation

$$\mu_{\min}(n) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mu_{\min}(n-1)}{2m+2}}. \quad (46)$$

Using Taylor's formula, we have

$$\mu_{\min}(n) \approx 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mu_{\min}(n-1)}{2m+2}\right) = \frac{\mu_{\min}(n-1)}{4m+4}. \quad (47)$$

Considering the initial condition $\mu_{\min}(1) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{m}{m+1}}$, Eq. (47) can be solved by induction to yield

$$\mu_{\min}(n) \simeq \frac{1-\frac{\sqrt{m^2+m}}{m+1}}{(4m+4)^{n-1}}. \quad (48)$$

For arbitrary θ in the interval $[0,1]$, from Eq. (45) it is easy to see that the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(n)$ of T_n can obtained from $\mu_{\min}(n-1)$ via relation

$$\lambda_{\min}(n) = \frac{(1+m)(1-\theta)\mu_{\min}(n-1)+(1+m)(1+\theta)}{2m+2} - \frac{\sqrt{(1+m)^2(1-\theta)^2[\mu_{\min}(n-1)]^2+(1+m)^2(1+\theta)^2-2(1+m)[1+m(1-\theta)^2-(1-\theta)]\mu_{\min}(n-1)}}{2m+2}. \quad (49)$$

Again, using Taylor's formula in Eq. (49), we have

$$\lambda_{\min}(n) \approx \frac{2+2m(1-\theta)-\theta}{(2m+2)(1+\theta)}\mu_{\min}(n-1) \simeq \frac{[4+4m(1-\theta)-2\theta]\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{m^2+m}}{m+1}\right)}{(1+\theta)(4m+4)^{n-1}}. \quad (50)$$

By comparing Eqs. (23) and (50), we can observe that,

as expected, the leading behavior for the reciprocal of $\lambda_{\min}(n)$ is identical to that of the dominant term for ATT $\langle T\rangle_n$, signaling that the trapping efficiency is characterized by the largest eigenvalue of the associated fundamental matrix M_n.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have presented an analytical study on random walks in a class of scale-free fractal networks, which incorporate both nearest-neighbor and non-nearest-neighbor hopping. We have focused on a particular case of random walks with a single trap placed on the central hub node. By using two different methods, we have deduced two expressions for the MFPT to the trap, which are equivalent to each other. Moreover, we have determined all the eigenvalues and their multiplicities of the fundamental matrix of the random walk, and demonstrated that the largest eigenvalue exhibits the same dominant behavior as that of the MFPT, which validates our computation for the full eigenvalues. The obtained results indicate that the inclusion of non-nearest-neighbor jumps can significantly modify the prefactor of MFPT to the trap. It should be mention that although we only studied a special case that the trap is the central node, the result is similar, when the trap is placed at another node. Our work enables a better understanding of the effect of non-nearest-neighbor hopping on the dynamics of random walks.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Bin Wu for his assistance in preparing this manuscript. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11275049.

Appendix A: Derivation of Eq. (29)

In order to prove Eq. (29), it suffices to show that their corresponding entries of two matrices $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\Gamma_{\beta,\alpha}$ and $I-\frac{1}{(2m+2)}\Gamma_{n-1}$ on both sides are equal to each other. For simplicity, let $Q_n=\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\Gamma_{\beta,\alpha}$ and $R_n=I-\frac{1}{(2m+2)}\Gamma_{n-1}$. Obviously, The entries $R_n(i,j)$ of R_n are: $R_n(i,i)=-\frac{1}{2m+2}\Gamma_{n-1}(i,j)$ for $i\neq j$ and $R_n(i,j)=\frac{2m+1}{2m+2}$ for $i=j$. For Q_n, its entries $Q_n(i,j)$ can be determined as follows.

If $i=j$, the diagonal entry of Q_n is

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(i,i) &= \sum_{k\in\beta}\left[\frac{A_n(i,k)}{d_i(n)}\cdot\frac{A_n(k,i)}{d_k(n)}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{d_i(n)}\sum_{\substack{A_n(i,k)=1\\ k\in\beta}}\frac{1}{d_k(n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(m+1)d_i(n-1)}\left[\frac{md_i(n-1)}{1}+\frac{d_i(n-1)}{2}\right] \\ &= \frac{2m+1}{2m+2}=R_n(i,i)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

where $d_i(n)=(m+1)d_i(n-1)$ has been used.

If $i\neq j$, the non-diagonal entry of Q_n is

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(i,j) &= \sum_{k\in\beta}\left[\frac{A_n(i,k)}{d_i(n)}\cdot\frac{A_n(k,j)}{d_k(n)}\right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A_n(i,k)=1\\ A_n(k,j)=1}}\frac{1}{(m+1)d_i(n-1)d_k(n)} \\ &= \frac{A_{n-1}(i,j)}{(2m+2)d_i(n-1)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2m+2}\Gamma_{n-1}(i,j)=R_n(i,j). \end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

Equations (A1) and (A2) lead to Eq. (29).

Appendix B: Derivation of Eqs. (39) and (40)

We first prove Eq. (39), which provides an expression of $T_{\alpha,\alpha}$ in terms of Γ_{n-1}. Notice that the diagonal elements of $T_{\alpha,\alpha}$ are all 1. For a non-diagonal element $T_{\alpha,\alpha}(i,j)$ where $i\neq j$, according to Eqs. (2) and (26), we have

$$T_{\alpha,\alpha}(i,j)=\frac{(1-\theta)A_{n-1}(i,j)}{d_i(n-1)}=(1-\theta)\Gamma_{n-1}(i,j). \tag{B1}$$

Recalling the fact that all the diagonal elements of Γ_{n-1} are 1, it is easy to get Eq. (39).

We proceed to prove Eq. (40). To this end, let $\tilde{Q}_n$ and $\tilde{R}_n$ denote, respectively, the two matrices $T_{\alpha,\beta}T_{\beta,\alpha}$ and $\tilde{R}_n=\theta I-\frac{\theta}{(2m+2)}\Gamma_{n-1}$ on both sides of Eq. (40). Then, the proof of Eq. (40) is reduced to proving the equivalence of the corresponding entries of $\tilde{Q}_n$ and $\tilde{R}_n$. For matrix $\tilde{R}_n$, it is evident that its diagonal and non-diagonal are $\tilde{R}_n(i,i)=\frac{\theta(2m+1)}{2m+2}$ and $\tilde{R}_n(i,j)=-\frac{\theta}{2m+2}\Gamma_{n-1}(i,j)$, respectively. While for matrix $\tilde{Q}_n$, its entries $\tilde{Q}_n(i,j)$ can be determined in a similar way as those of Q_n for the case of $\theta=1$.

The diagonal entry of $\tilde{Q}_n$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}_n(i,i) &= \sum_{k\in\beta}\left[\frac{\theta A_n(i,k)}{d_i(n)}\cdot\frac{A_n(k,i)}{d_k(n)}\right] \\ &= \frac{\theta}{d_i(n)}\sum_{\substack{A_n(i,k)=1\\ k\in\beta}}\frac{1}{d_k(n)} \\ &= \frac{\theta(2m+1)}{2m+2} = \tilde{R}_n(i,i)\,; \end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

and the non-diagonal element of $\tilde{Q}_n$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}_n(i,j) &= \sum_{k\in\beta}\left[\frac{\theta A_n(i,k)}{d_i(n)}\cdot\frac{A_n(k,j)}{d_k(n)}\right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A_n(i,k)=1\\ A_n(k,j)=1}}\frac{\theta}{(m+1)d_i(n-1)d_k(n)} \\ &= \frac{\theta A_{n-1}(i,j)}{(2m+2)d_i(n-1)} \\ &= -\frac{\theta}{2m+2}\Gamma_{n-1}(i,j) = \tilde{R}_n(i,j)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

which, together with Eq. (B2), completes the proof of Eq. (40).

[1] R. Albert and A.-L. Barabási, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 47 (2002).
[2] M. E. J. Newman, SIAM Rev. **45**, 167 (2003).
[3] J. M. Kleinberg, Nature **406**, 845 (2000).
[4] R. Guimerà, A. Diaz-Guilera, F. Vega-Redondo, A. Cabrales, and A. Arenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 248701 (2002).
[5] O. Bénichou, C. Loverdo, M. Moreau, and R. Voituriez, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 81 (2011).
[6] F. Bartumeus, M. G. E. da Luz, G. Viswanathan, and J. Catalan, Ecology **86**, 3078 (2005).
[7] D. Brockmann, L. Hufnagel, and T. Geisel, Nature **439**, 462 (2006).
[8] L. Grady, IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence **28**, 1768 (2006).
[9] P. Pons and M. Latapy, J. Graph Algorithms Appl. **10**, 191 (2006).
[10] M. Rosvall, A. V. Esquivel, A. Lancichinetti, J. D. West, and R. Lambiotte, Nat. Commun. **5**, 4630 (2014).
[11] C. Chennubhotla and I. Bahar, PLoS Comput. Biol. **3**, e172 (2007).
[12] S. Havlin and D. Ben-Avraham, Adv. Phys. **36**, 695 (1987).
[13] R. Burioni and D. Cassi, J. Phys. A **38**, R45 (2005).
[14] D. Volchenkov, Communi. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **16**, 21 (2011).
[15] O. Bénichou and R. Voituriez, Phys. Rep. **539**, 225 (2014).
[16] O. Benichou, T. Guérin, and R. Voituriez, J. Phys. A **48**, 163001 (2015).
[17] S. Redner, *A guide to first-passage processes* (Cambridge University Press, 2001).
[18] J. D. Noh and H. Rieger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 118701 (2004).
[19] E. W. Montroll, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 753 (1969).
[20] F. Jasch and A. Blumen, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 041108 (2001).
[21] A. Bar-Haim, J. Klafter, and R. Kopelman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **119**, 6197 (1997).
[22] A. Bar-Haim and J. Klafter, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 5187 (1998).
[23] J. L. Bentz, F. N. Hosseini, and J. J. Kozak, Chem. Phys. Lett. **370**, 319 (2003).
[24] S. Condamin, O. Bénichou, and M. Moreau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 260601 (2005).
[25] S. Condamin, O. Bénichou, and J. Klafter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 250602 (2007).
[26] S. Condamin, O. Bénichou, V. Tejedor, R. Voituriez, and J. Klafter, Nature **450**, 77 (2007).
[27] O. Bénichou, C. Chevalier, J. Klafter, B. Meyer, and R. Voituriez, Nat. Chem. **2**, 472 (2010).
[28] S. Hwang, D.-S. Lee, and B. Kahng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 088701 (2012).
[29] J. J. Kozak and V. Balakrishnan, Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos Appl. Sci. Eng. **12**, 2379 (2002).
[30] J. L. Bentz, J. W. Turner, and J. J. Kozak, Phys. Rev. E **82**, 011137 (2010).
[31] V. Balakrishnan and J. J. Kozak, Phys. Rev. E **88**, 052139 (2013).
[32] B. Kahng and S. Redner, J. Phys. A **22**, 887 (1989).
[33] E. Agliari, Phys. Rev. E **77**, 011128 (2008).
[34] Y. Lin, B. Wu, and Z. Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. E **82**, 031140 (2010).
[35] B. Wu and Z. Z. Zhang, J. Chem. Phys. **139**, 024106 (2013).
[36] J. Peng and G. Xu, J. Chem. Phys. **140**, 134102 (2014).
[37] B. Wu, Y. Lin, Z. Z. Zhang, and G. R. Chen, J. Chem. Phys. **137**, 044903 (2012).
[38] Y. Lin and Z. Z. Zhang, J. Chem. Phys. **138**, 094905 (2013).
[39] X. Peng and Z. Z. Zhang, J. Chem. Phys. **140**, 234104 (2014).
[40] R. A. Garza-López and J. J. Kozak, Chem. Phys. Lett. **406**, 38 (2005).
[41] Z. Z. Zhang, Y. Qi, S. G. Zhou, W. L. Xie, and J. H. Guan, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 021127 (2009).
[42] V. Tejedor, O. Bénichou, and R. Voituriez, Phys. Rev. E **80**, 065104 (2009).
[43] E. Agliari and R. Burioni, Phys. Rev. E **80**, 031125 (2009).
[44] E. Agliari, R. Burioni, and A. Manzotti, Phys. Rev. E **82**, 011118 (2010).
[45] B. Meyer, E. Agliari, O. Bénichou, and R. Voituriez, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 026113 (2012).
[46] Z. Z. Zhang, T. Shan, and G. R. Chen, Phys. Rev. E **87**, 012112 (2013).
[47] Y. Lin and Z. Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. E **87**, 062140 (2013).
[48] Z. G. Soos and R. C. Powell, Phy. Rev. B **6**, 4035 (1972).
[49] R. Knox, J. Theor. Biol. **21**, 244 (1968).
[50] G. Ehrlich and K. Stolt, Am. Rev. Phys. Chem **31**, 603 (1980).
[51] G. Antczak and G. Ehrlich, Surf. Sci. Rep. **62**, 39 (2007).
[52] G. Oshanin, H. S. Wio, K. Lindenberg, and S. F. Burlatsky, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **19**, 065142 (2007).
[53] F. Rojo, J. Revelli, C. E. Budde, H. S. Wio, G. Oshanin, and K. Lindenberg, J. Phys. A **43**, 345001 (2010).
[54] O. Bénichou, C. Loverdo, M. Moreau, and R. Voituriez, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **10**, 7059 (2008).
[55] M. K. Musho and J. J. Kozak, J Chem. Phys. **79**, 1942 (1983).
[56] C. Song, S. Havlin, and H. A. Makse, Nat. Phys. **2**, 275 (2006).
[57] H. D. Rozenfeld, S. Havlin, and D. ben Avraham, New J. Phys. **9**, 175 (2007).
[58] J. G. Kemeny and J. L. Snell, *Finite Markov Chains* (Springer, New York, 1976).
[59] Z. Z. Zhang, Y. Lin, and Y. J. Ma, J. Phys. A **44**, 075102 (2011).